

Human Connections in the Age of AI Companionship: A Psychological Prospective

Geetesh Kumar Singh, Mahima Gupta

Rashtriya Raksha University, Gandhinagar, Gujarat, India

Vidushi Jaswal

Mehr Chand Mahajan DAV College for Women, Chandigarh, India

Artificial Intelligence (AI) companionship refers to the development and interaction with artificial intelligence systems designed to provide company support or assistance to humans. These systems can simulate conversations, exhibit social behaviors, and potentially offer emotional support, thereby acting as companions or romantic partners for users. While AI companionship may offer some benefits, it raises concerns about the potential for social isolation and erosion of genuine human connections. Dependence on artificial entities for emotional support could hinder the development of essential interpersonal skills and lead to detachment from real-world relationships, ultimately impacting users' psychological well-being. This form of intelligence, referred to as 'addictive intelligence,' is inherently addictive in nature, designed to maximize user engagement, and evolves in sophistication daily. The impact of our growing dependence on AI Companionship on human behavior, cognition, and emotional and psychological well-being is unknown and complex. What are the possible psychological effects of increasing dependence on AI companionships? What ethical issues arise from incorporating AI companionships into everyday life? What measures can be taken to safeguard the psychological well-being of users engaged in AI companionship?

Keywords: Addictive Intelligence, Psychological Wellbeing, Artificial Intelligence (AI), Psychological Impact, AI Companionship, Human Connections

Artificial intelligence (AI) is a multifaceted issue that encompasses the potential risks and benefits associated with the increasing integration of AI into daily psychological interactions. AI systems are designed to optimize user engagement, often leading to addictive patterns of behavior, particularly in the realm of social media and gaming, which is also known as additive intelligence. This design strategy is rooted in the concept of "dark patterns" or deliberate design choices that trap users into addictive behaviors by maximizing their engagement (Brignull, 2013). However, the negative consequences of AI-driven user engagement are concerning. These include decreased attention spans, spread of misinformation,

and polarizing content, all of which can have detrimental effects on mental health, contributing to anxiety, depression, and social isolation (Twenge & Campbell, 2018; Montag et al., 2021). This article discusses the potential psychological effects of increasing dependence on AI Companionship, ethical issues arising from incorporating AI into individuals' everyday lives, and measures to safeguard the psychological well-being of users engaged in AI Companionship.

Studies have shown that cognitive impairments, such as impulsivity, risky decision-making and biased probabilistic reasoning, characterize addiction and are present in various psychiatric disorders,

including behavioral addictions (Fineberg et al., 2018). However, research increasingly suggests that AI, developed with mindfulness and ethics in mind, can help protect against addictive behaviors (Paredes et al., 2020). Positive mental health and mindfulness can mitigate the risks of addictive social media use, especially during periods of high stress such as the COVID-19 pandemic. Moreover, psychological mindfulness-based interventions have been effective in reducing social anxiety and enhancing students' well-being (Yang et al., 2019).

These interventions have been shown to improve academic performance and reduce stress levels, making them valuable tools for promoting student success. However, the challenge lies in balancing leveraging AI for its vast potential to improve our lives while safeguarding our psychological wellbeing. To achieve this balance, it is crucial to consider both the benefits and risks associated with AI, and to develop policies and practices that prioritize the use of this technology. Recent advancements in AI-based companionship models have raised significant concerns regarding the nature and dark pattern algorithms used by technological giants. The potential consequences of these algorithms, which may perpetuate biases and infringe on user privacy, have ignited widespread debate and called for greater transparency and ethical guidelines in the development and deployment of AI-based Companionship.

Seduction and Habit Forming Nature of AI Companionship

Understanding the multidimensional nature of addictive intelligence sets the stage for delving into nuanced interactions with AI Companionship, which both seduce and shape habits. The allure of AI Companionship lies in its ability to reflect on and amplify its desires. AI Companionship has become increasingly popular because of its ability to provide personalized experiences and cater

to user preferences, making it easier for them to develop habits and form strong emotional connections, often leading to addiction (Jung & Sundar, 2016). Unlike human interactions, which involve effort, concessions, and comprehension, AI Companionship is designed to accommodate enormously. This system creates an echo chamber of affection where users receive unceasing validation and support without the challenges of real-world relationships. This phenomenon can lead to an unrealistic and potentially harmful distortion of social dynamics, isolating individuals from the complexities and challenges of real-world relationships (Turkle, 2017).

AI Companionship can be highly addictive because of its ability to generate hyper-realistic content that is tailored to user preferences, a consequential concern arises. This can also become a source of escapism, allowing individuals to spend excessive amounts of time interacting with virtual entities instead of engaging in real-world relationships (Aiken, 2016). This constant exposure to AI Companionship can lead to a decrease in social interaction and increased reliance on technology for emotional support and entertainment. This form of attachment poses ethical questions that threaten to create a form of psychological attachment in which individuals prefer the predictability and safety of AI interactions over the complexity and unpredictability of human relationships. As people become increasingly reliant on AI for their social interactions, there is a risk that they may neglect developing essential human skills such as empathy, communication, and critical thinking, which are crucial for building strong and meaningful relationships (Simmons, 2019). This raises the question of how we navigate the fine line between beneficial engagement and fostering dependency.

Individuals must maintain a balance between AI-based interactions and real-life human connections to ensure healthy and well-rounded social life. In contemporary times, AI-based interactions have experienced a surge in prevalence, partly because of the rapid advancements in technology. Although AI-based interactions may be convenient and efficient, they cannot fully replicate the emotional and social benefits of face-to-face communication. The widespread use of smartphones to store contact details has resulted in a remarkable decline in their ability to remember and recall telephone numbers. Reliance on AI Companionship may have far-reaching consequences, including cognitive and emotional enervation stemming from excessive usage, which could diminish the importance of emotional relationships in our lives.

Cognitive and Emotional Consequences of AI Companionship

The consequences of AI Companionship are significant, and excessive use of AI technology can lead to information overload, reduced attention span, and impaired memory (Carr 2010). The cognitive and emotional consequences of these addictive intelligences are topics of concern to researchers. Therefore, it is important to consider the potential long-term effects of AI on individuals and the society as a whole. The ability of the brain to process information is limited, and the constant bombardment of notifications, updates, and personalized content can overwhelm cognitive resources. This often results in decreased productivity, difficulty focusing on tasks, and a general sense of mental fatigue (Rosen et al., 2013).

Furthermore, addictive intelligence can contribute to a range of emotional consequences such as anxiety, depression, and loneliness (Twenge et al., 2019). Curated and often idealized content

presented by AI systems can worsen feelings of inadequacy and low self-esteem, leading to compulsive behavior and diminished self-control (Anderson et al., 2017). This phenomenon is particularly concerning given the pervasive nature of AI in modern society, as individuals may feel pressure to conform to the unattainable standards set by these AI systems, leading to negative mental health outcomes and decreased overall psychological well-being.

Motivations Behind Developing AI Companionship

AI Companionship is designed to provide a combination of functional, emotional, and conversational capabilities to foster long-lasting relationships with users and soften the uncanny valley problem (Chaturvedi et al., 2023). However, these companionships can also lead to significant harm such as emotional distress and perpetuation of biases, which raises concerns within the framework of AI law and ethics (Boine, 2023). The social presence and warmth of AI companionships are crucial to their perceived usefulness and recommendations for individuals experiencing loneliness, indicating a motivation to address social needs (Merrill et al., 2022).

However, it is essential to investigate the underlying factors that contribute to the development of AI companionships to better understand them and to alleviate the harm caused by AI Companionship. It is also necessary to understand the economic and psychological factors driving their creation. Collaboration between researchers and policymakers is crucial for establishing standard practices for testing AI models in diverse groups, including vulnerable populations, to prevent the exploitation of psychological preconditions (Binns et al., 2018). Adaptive policies can help to optimize personal choices while minimizing addiction. However, they depend on accurately

comprehending and measuring a user's behavior and mental state to protect their privacy (Floridi et al., 2018).

To address potential harm to AI companies, it is crucial to understand the economic and psychological incentives driving their development. Deliberate design choices, known as "dark patterns," which maximize user engagement, are not accidental (Mathur et al., 2021). These design choices can be replicated by AI Companionship, creating a new form of addiction that provides hedonism as a service. Effective policies cannot be created until the drivers of AI addiction are completely understood. Therefore, addressing the ethical and regulatory implications of AI Companionship is imperative, and cannot be ignored.

Reducing Psychological Impact of AI Companionship

The potential Psychological Impact of AI Companionship is not limited to relationships, but extends to mental health issues, where AI's role is expanding, but also faces scrutiny regarding its ability to match the empathetic understanding of humans (Oladimeji et al., 2023). The psychological impact of AI Companionship can be reduced by developing psychological resilience, which is crucial for counteracting its negative consequences of AI companionship. This involves cultivating the ability to resist the allure of technology by devising techniques for self-regulation and psychological detoxification (Graham et al., 2021).

Mindfulness practices can help individuals gain awareness of their psychological habits, allowing them to make conscious decisions regarding how they interact with technology. Furthermore, cognitive-behavioral techniques can be employed to address the underlying issues of anxiety or depression that may contribute to excessive technology usage (Jazaieri et al., 2012). However, it is

essential to recognize that psychological resilience alone may not be sufficient to address AI addiction. The most effective solution would likely involve tackling the root causes that lead individuals to seek AI companionship such as loneliness and boredom. Addressing these broader issues, such as fostering social connections and providing support for mental health, can help reduce the vulnerability to AI addiction.

Future Prospect of AI Companionship

This section discusses the future potential of AI companionship and its implications for human-AI interactions. The focus is on the emerging trends, ethical concerns, and societal impacts that may shape the evolution of AI companions. Before delving into future prospects, it is crucial to understand the implications of our findings for psychological well-being and societal impact. As we look forward, AI companionship is poised to become more deeply integrated into everyday life, it is expected to offer enhanced personalized services while addressing issues such as loneliness and emotional support. Studies by Merrill et al. (2022) and Sheng et al. (2024) indicated that the social presence and warmth of AI companionships are critical factors in their acceptance and perceived usefulness, particularly for individuals experiencing loneliness. Furthermore, AI's role in healthcare is expanding with the potential to personalize care and improve treatment outcomes (Sheng et al., 2024). However, the future of AI companionship is not without controversy, as issues surrounding gender ethics in AI companionship, as exemplified by the Chinese AI "Hupo," highlight the complexities of simulated gender relations and the potential for both egalitarian and problematic interactions (Leo-Liu, 2022). Additionally, ethical considerations extend to the broader deployment of AI, including concerns about biases, data privacy, and the potential for AI

to evolve into an AGI that could pose a threat to humanity.

As we defy the psychological and social implications of addictive intelligence, fostering healthy human interaction amid technological advancements is a daunting challenge. Although technology has the potential to enhance our lives, it also poses significant threats to mental health and psychological wellbeing. To ensure that AI does not replace genuine human connections, but serves as a tool that augments them, proactive and decisive actions are necessary.

The use of AI Companionship has resulted in a challenging psychological environment that necessitates careful navigation. Achieving a balance between harnessing technological advancement and preserving mental health is essential. To achieve this goal, a thorough examination of the cognitive, emotional, and behavioral consequences of addictive intelligence and AI Companionship is required. This will enable the formulation of effective strategies that promote psychological well-being and ensure that AI technology serves as an enhancing tool rather than detrimental to the human experience. While collaboration among stakeholders is crucial, achieving this requires overcoming significant challenges including divergent interests and privacy concerns. Therefore, a multi-faceted approach is necessary to prioritize psychological well-being in the age of addictive intelligence (Orben et al., 2020). This collective effort will help create safe and secure AI systems that foster human connections and contribute to the flourishing of humanity.

References

- Aiken, M. (2016). *The Cyber Effect: A pioneering cyberpsychologist explores how human behavior changes online*. Spiegel & Grau.
- Anderson, M. & Jiang, J. (2017). Teens, social media, & technology, 2018. *Pew Research Center*. Retrieved from <https://www.pewresearch.org/internet/2018/05/31/teens-social-media-technology-2018/>
- Binns, R., Veale, M., Van Kleek, M., & Shadbolt, N. (2018). It reduces a human being to a percentage: perceptions of justice in algorithmic decisions. *Proceedings of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. ACM. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3173574.3173951>
- Boine, C. (2023). Emotional attachment to AI companionship and European law. *MIT Case Studies in Social and Ethical Responsibilities of Computing, Winter 2023*. <https://doi.org/10.21428/2c646de5.db67ec7f>
- Brignull, H. (2013). Dark patterns: User interfaces designed for trick people. *UX Design*. Retrieved from <https://www.darkpatterns.org/>
- Carr, N. (2010). *The shallows: What the internet is doing to our brains?* W.W. Norton & Company.
- Chaturvedi, R., Srivastava, V., & Verma, S. (2023). Empowering ai companionship for enhanced relationship marketing. *California Management Review, 66*(2), 65–90. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00081256231215838>
- Fineberg, N. A., Demetrovics, Z., Stein, D. J., Ioannidis, K., Potenza, M. N., Grünblatt, E., & Chamberlain, S. R. (2018). *Manifesto for a European research network on problematic Internet usage*. *European Neuropsychopharmacology, 28*(11), 1232-1246. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.euroneuro.2018.08.004>
- Floridi, L., Cows, J., Beltrametti, M., Chatila, R., Chazerand, P., Dignum, V., & Vayena, E. (2018). AI4People: An ethical framework for a good AI society: opportunities, risks, principles, and recommendations. *Minds and Machines, 28*(4), 689-707. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11023-018-9482-5>

- Graham, S., Mason, J., Riordan, B. C., Winter, D., & Scarf, D. (2021). Taking a break: The effect of taking a vacation on Facebook and Instagram on subjective wellbeing. *The Journal of Social Psychology*, 161(2), 144-148. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00224545.2020.1841850>
- Jazaieri, H., Goldin, P. R., Werner, K., Ziv, M., & Gross, J. J. (2012). Randomized trial of MBSR versus aerobic exercise for social anxiety disorder. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 68(7), 715-731. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jclp.21863>
- Jung, Y., & Sundar, S. S. (2016). Toward the scalable self: The role of social media and AI in augmenting the self. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 63, 747-758. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2016.06.002>
- Leo-Liu, J. (2022). Loving a “defiant” AI companion? Gender performance and ethics of social exchange robots in simulated intimate interactions. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 141, 107620. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2022.107620>
- Mathur, A., Acar, G., Friedman, M. G., Lucherini, E., Mayer, J., Chetty, M., & Narayanan, A. (2021). “Dark patterns at scale: Findings from a crawl of 11K shopping websites.” *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction*, 5(CSCW1), 1-26. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3449080>
- Merrill, K., Kim, J., & Collins, C. (2022). AI Companionship for lonely individuals and the role of social presence. *Communication Research Reports*, 93-103. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08824096.2022.2045929>
- Montag, C., Lachmann, B., Herrlich, M., & Zweig, K. (2021). Addictive features of social media/messenger platforms and freemium games against the background of psychological and economic theories. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 16(14), 2612. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16142612>
- Oladimeji, K. E., Dwarka, D., Gumede, S., Lalla-Edward, S. T., & Nyatela, A. (2023). Impact of artificial intelligence (ai) on psychological and mental health promotion: An Opinion piece. *New Voices in Psychology*. <https://doi.org/10.25159/2958-3918/14548>
- Orben, A., Dienlin, T., & Przybylski, A. K. (2020). Social media’s enduring effect on adolescent life satisfaction. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 116(21), 10226-10228. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1903496116>
- Paredes, P., Sun, H., Iyer, A., Wang, Y., Choi, Y. K., & Canny, J. (2020). Poptotherapy: Coping with stress through pop-culture. *Proceedings of the 2020 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. ACM. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3313831.3376247>
- Rosen, L. D., Carrier, L. M., & Cheever, N. A. (2013). *iDisorder: Understanding our obsession with technology and overcoming its hold on us*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Sheng, B., Pushpanathan, K., Guan, Z., Lim, Q. H., Lim, Z. W., Yew, S. M. E., Goh, J. H. L., Bee, Y. M., Sabanayagam, C., Sevdalis, N., Lim, C. C., Lim, C. T., Shaw, J., Jia, W., Ekinci, E. I., Simó, R., Lim, L.-L., Li, H., & Tham, Y.-C. (2024). Artificial intelligence for diabetes care: current and future prospects. *The Lancet. Diabetes & Endocrinology*, 12(8), 569-595. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s2213-8587\(24\)00154-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/s2213-8587(24)00154-2)
- Simmons, J. (2019). Artificial companionship: A new frontier in human-computer interaction? *Interacting with Computers*, 31(2), 199-213. <https://doi.org/10.1093/iwc/iwz014>
- Turkle, S. (2017). *Reclaiming conversation: The power of talk in a psychological age*. Penguin Books.
- Twenge, J. M., & Campbell, W. K. (2018). *The narcissism epidemic: Living in the age of entitlement*. Atria Books.
- Twenge, J. M., Martin, G. N., & Spitzberg, B. H. (2019). Trends in U.S. adolescents’ media use, 1976-2016: The rise of psychological

media, the decline of TV and the (near) demise of print. *Psychology of Popular Media Culture*, 8(4), 329-345. <https://doi.org/10.1037/ppm0000203>

Yang, E., Schamber, E., Meyer, R. M., & Gold, J. I. (2019). *Mindfulness-based stress*

reduction in medical school curriculum: A review of quantitative and qualitative evidence. Educational Research Review, 28, 100278. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2019.100278>

Geetesh Kumar Singh, Rashtriya Raksha University, Gandhinagar, Gujarat, India

Mahima Gupta, Rashtriya Raksha University, Gandhinagar, Gujarat, India

Vidushi Jaswal, Mehr Chand Mahajan DAV College for Women, Chandigarh, India