

## **The Influence of Social Media Echo Chambers on Users' Openness to Different Opinions- A Qualitative Study**

**Dishita D. Gadoya, Dubari Baruah, Grizel L. Dias, Garima V. Gurbhele, Diya Joy and Haniyah Habib**  
Kristu Jayanti College, Bengaluru

This study investigates the effect of social media algorithms on users' ability to think for themselves and expose themselves to other perspectives, while also contributing to the formation of echo chambers. Echo chambers created by algorithmic content curation serve to entrench prior beliefs and further distance opposing ideologies by limiting exposure to alternative viewpoints. This study uses a qualitative phenomenological methodology to analyse users' individual experiences with algorithmically driven content suggestions through semi-structured interviews. This study revealed that excessive use of echo chambers increased resistance to dissenting perspectives while decreasing cognitive flexibility. Alternatively, those who actively sought dissimilar content revealed greater adaptability and transparency of opinion. The study emphasizes the importance of media literacy interventions and supporting design modifications to create a more balanced consumption of information, and also the psychological and cultural implications of algorithmic filtering. With these outcomes, this research engages in ongoing discussions about ways to mitigate polarization and promote critical engagement in online environments.

**Keywords:** Echo Chambers, Social Media Algorithms, Opinion Polarization, Social Media Platforms.

The 21st Century has seen a tremendous rise in the usage of internet technologies. It has proven to be both a boon and a bane to the existing generation. Social media is one of the byproducts of this technology. Social media is a collective term used for various digital platforms where people are exposed to a variety of information through a single tap on the screen. These platforms facilitate communication, sharing of data, and networking, all through the medium of images, texts, and user-generated content. Especially now with the onset of AI, the exchange of information between individuals has gone far beyond horizons. AI-driven content has outpowered the creativity and authenticity of humanized communication.

Social media is a hub of diverse information that is being shared on various

platforms, creating bubbles of like-minded groups of users. Their exposure to certain things gets limited, and so as their choice of content and later their perception of those things. The psychological base of echo chambers defines it with the help by different cognitive biases, such as confirmation bias, where one tends to confirm information that aligns with their preconceived notions. Its impact ranges beyond one's cognition; it also affects one's social perception. Studies have shown that echo chambers can contribute to the spread of misleading information, false news, or rumours, which have negative social impacts (Gao et al., 2023). Research on the echo chamber effect on social media by Cinelli and others (2021) explored how users with similar attributes dominated online interactions.

### **Rationale of the Study**

The research investigates how social media platforms are driven by algorithms, creating echo chambers where users mostly encounter opinions that align with their beliefs. These Echo Chambers limit exposure to diverse perspectives, reinforcing biases and contributing to polarization. It explores how these echo chambers affect openness to different opinions and how it is crucial for exploring their psychological and societal impact.

### **Significance of the study**

The research highlights the role of echo chambers in societal polarization, exploring effects on users' critical thinking abilities. It informs policy for promoting diverse opinions online, enhances media literacy to navigate social media better, and paves the way for future research on misinformation and cohesion.

The research gap in this study is limited to the insignificant focus on the effects of social media algorithms on the formation of echo chambers and their psychological impact on users' openness towards diverse opinions. While there has been much attention paid to no logical effect of social media, there has been little in-depth qualitative analysis regarding the altered cognitive processes brought on by algorithmic content display in terms of critical thinking and polarization. However, there is a gap that this study intends to fill by looking at the direct interplay of social media design and how it interacts with an individual's perception formation.

Huang and Fabi (2024) researched the impact of social media algorithms on beauty standards and the rising interest in cosmetic procedures. Their study emphasizes how different social media sites like YouTube, TikTok, and Instagram customize different users' feeds, influencing their self-perception

by reinforcing specific beauty ideals. By the organised images and videos that are prevailing beauty norms are constantly displayed to the users, aesthetic preferences are shaped by the algorithms' feedback. Individuals' likes, shares, or comments on beauty-related posts boost engagement and promote more visibility of this content to others. The researchers noticed the link between increased exposure to algorithm-driven beauty content and an increase in cosmetic procedure considerations, especially among young adults. The findings support previous research connecting social media use to body image concerns and self-esteem issues. This research also explored how the algorithm can shape recommendations that are biased, which lead to body dissatisfaction by limiting beauty perceptions (Huang & Fabi, 2024).

Metzler and Garcia (2023b) study the interplay between social drivers and algorithmic mechanisms in digital media, focusing on how algorithms are not only devices for calculating content visibility but also shape more extensive societal practices. They study how algorithms moderate user interactions in digital spaces and bring to light how social forces form algorithmic effects. The authors contend that digital spaces are not neutral; they are rooted deeply within socio-cultural contexts that shape algorithmic frameworks. By paying close attention to how computers and humans communicate, we have developed a strategy to gain some insight into how computers configure the way people currently search and use things on the internet, interact with one another, and coexist with others on the internet. Additionally, Metzler and Garcia (2023b) emphasize that algorithms are essentially two-way. Platform design and what the users do are both elements that construct the broader digital ecosystem, with the same significance assigned to both design intention and user action. They also propose

that online platforms have some work to do in terms of comprehending the complex social forces that shape algorithm rules.

Through their study, Hossain and Ahsan (2024) gained a specific understanding of Bangladeshi youth challenges by examining how TikTok and Instagram Reels impact their social comparison behaviours, along with their addictive traits and self-esteem issues. A qualitative case study with 15 in-depth participant interviews was conducted by the researchers, who included 13 youth as well as an educator and a social media specialist who reside in Bangladesh's cities. The study confirms existing international research by demonstrating that Bangladeshi teenagers face negative impacts on their mental health through exposure to TikTok and Instagram Reels short-video content, which leads to self-perception issues, especially affecting females. Short-form video platforms utilize algorithm design to stimulate obsessive behaviour that strengthens body image disorders and makes users develop addictive patterns. Society's expectations, together with parental oversight in Bangladesh, either enhance the dangerous aspects of social media or result in amplifying desired effects. The authors of the report suggest implementing digital literacy instruction and increasing parent involvement to help teenagers utilize social media platforms properly (Hossain & Ahsan, 2024).

Taylor and Choi (2023) introduce a new concept referred to as "algorithm responsiveness." They discuss how various types of users perceive how they are being treated by various platforms such as Facebook and Instagram, and Twitter. Their research indicates that readers tend to have vague awareness of how algorithms decide what content they read and engage with. That has a direct impact on both their satisfaction and trust in the platform. They contend that users' views of algorithms are influenced by a mix of transparency, control,

and the coherence between the content they are shown and their individual preferences. Various individuals have very distinct perceptions of social media sites, and that is what makes the way they utilize them and perceive content to be shared appear different and perhaps unjust as well. Moreover, Taylor and Choi (2023) contend that algorithmic responsiveness is central to establishing user trust and enhancing engagement on online platforms. This study advocates for greater user-oriented design in algorithm design, so that algorithms promote positive user experiences and reduce possible issues with fairness and bias in online media.

After relating social media risks - disinformation, digital addiction, and cyber risks - Ognibene et al. (2023) designed a collective well-being-aware recommendation system (CWB-RS). Their findings showed that traditional recommendation algorithms prioritize user engagement over user well-being, resulting in the perpetuation of false information and bad online experiences. The proposed CWB-RS incorporates ethical considerations to ensure that content recommendations promote mental health while maintaining engagement. They also proposed ways in which AI-enabled digital mentors could enhance a user's digital literacy and promote ethical online behaviour. Lee et al. (2022) similarly investigated the effect of algorithmic personalization on the user's construction of identity and self-concept. Their research demonstrates that social media algorithms are not merely suggesting content to users, but are also impacting users' self-perceptions—either positively by encouraging self-exploration and discovery, or negatively, by reinforcing self-perceptions users have already formed. To illustrate the interactive relationship between algorithms and self-perception, the researchers proposed an example: "algorithmic crystals," in which people

deliberately change their activities on social media to change the representation the algorithm creates of that person.

Jago (2021) examined how theological beliefs are influenced by algorithm manipulation, most particularly from young generations deriving a significant amount of information from various social media platforms. The research suggested that social media platforms are not impartial; rather, they influence users' perspectives by curating content according to their past interactions. Creating Echo chambers limits exposure to diverse viewpoints, which is done by social media algorithms by reinforcing specific ideologies and theological narratives. The study also found that influencers play a significant role in shaping online religious discourse, with many gaining credibility although lacking formal theological training. The research has seen a shift from traditional resistance teachings to social media trends where individuals seek unique validation in online spaces, and their theological perspectives have changed. The author also suggested that without over-scene precautions, algorithm-driven content can slowly circularly arise religious beliefs. They emphasize the need for Greater digital literacy and critical thinking when engaging with logical discussions online (Jago, 2021).

Chen and Xiao (2022) also examined the psychological effects of excessive social media use, both positive and negative. Based on their review of the literature, social media use can promote social connections and fun when used properly, and negative emotions arising from worry and sadness when used poorly. Terren & Borge (2021) conducted a systematic review of 55 studies to understand and measure echo chambers on social media. Research findings depend heavily on both conceptual choices and methodological approaches, according to the evaluation results. Research using digital trace data provides direct observations of

user behaviour through online interactions to demonstrate convincing evidence of echo chambers that form when users group to share their common interests. The findings from research based on self-reported data, such as surveys and interviews, display mixed results regarding content diversity and cross-cutting communication. The authors divide research between content exposure, which measures the variety of information users come across, and communication and interaction, which focuses on behavioural patterns. The information shows that echo chambers develop mostly during divisive conversations, while regular social media interactions usually offer wider content exposure. Future research about the intricacies of social media's influence on polarization requires combining self-reported data with digital trace data using a mixed-methods analytical approach, according to the authors (Terren et al., 2021).

This research aimed to explore how social media algorithms create echo chambers and its impact on users' Openness to Different Opinions.

### **Research Questions**

1. How does exposure to echo chambers impact users' cognitive flexibility and willingness to engage with different perspectives?
2. To what extent do algorithm-driven content recommendations influence the formation and persistence of echo chambers?

### **Method**

A qualitative approach was chosen as the research method for this study. The phenomenological approach was incorporated, which focuses on participants' personal experiences, perceptions and emotions regarding the impact of social media echo chambers. The phenomenological method allows for a rich

understanding of how social media algorithms influence users' willingness to engage with diverse opinions. (Smith & Osborn, 2014).

### **Interview**

Semi-structured interviews were selected to carry out this research study. They allowed the participants to elaborate and with that provided more flexibility, range and therefore the capacity to elicit more information from the participant. This approach is particularly useful in phenomenological studies, as it enables participants to describe their lived experiences in a way that is meaningful to them (Tindall et al., 2009).

### **Sampling and selection**

The ideas behind a specific sampling approach vary significantly, and reflect the purposes and questions directing the study (Punch, 1998). In choosing the sample of participants the researcher used a purposive sampling method. In undertaking this study the researcher chose to interview 12 college going individuals (six males and six females) aged between 18 to 25 years due to the fact that college students often engage with recommendation algorithms on platforms like YouTube, Instagram and Facebook for academic and personal purposes. This regular exposure makes them a pertinent demographic for understanding the effects of algorithmic content delivery.

### **Data collection and Analysis**

Data collection was done through telephonic interviews and also in person. Each interview lasted for up to 15-20 minutes. A phone recorder was used to record the interviews and all interviews were fully transcribed verbatim. Each participant was presented with a similar set of questions relating to their overall experiences of how social media echo chambers influence users' openness to different opinions. The questions were open ended questions with a small number of closed questions relating

to their demographic information. The researcher sought to use language that was comprehensible and relevant to each of the participants being interviewed (Bryman, 2001). The interview schedule was structured into the following five sections; participant's demographics, their psychological/emotional well-being, social media usage, views on algorithms and lastly its impact on their decision making capacity.

Once the data was transcribed, it was coded, analysed, interpreted and verified. The data went under a rigorous phenomenological analysis.

### **Ethical considerations**

Ethical approval was obtained before conducting the study, and all participants provided informed consent. Confidentiality was maintained by anonymizing participant identities, and data was stored securely. Participants were informed of their right to withdraw at any time without consequence. Given the potential sensitivity of discussing online behaviors, the researcher ensured a supportive environment that encouraged open and honest responses.

### **Results and Discussion**

To meet the objective of the study, which was to ask how social media algorithms may form echo chambers and influence the openness of users to other opinions, the results are arranged based on the two research questions. Such a structure makes each theme have a distinct contribution towards answering one of the two fundamental questions and increases the coherence between the purpose of the study and the findings of the analysis.

*Research Question One-* How does exposure to echo chambers impact users' cognitive flexibility and willingness to engage with different perspectives?

In order to answer this research question, 3 major themes have been emerged during

the data collection and analysis process. The following topics are related to Research Question 1 that aims at explaining how exposure to echo chambers affects cognitive flexibility and open-mindedness to diverse perspectives in users. These themes

emerged due to frequent patterns in the participant narratives such as the transformation of the belief system, the emotional reaction, and the coping strategies to deal with digital echo chambers.

Table: 1

Theme	Subtheme	Codes
Cognitive and Emotional Impact	Behavioral Influence	Gradual Opinion Formation, Subtle Opinion Shifts
	Emotional Responses	Emotional Influence, Psychological Impact
Social Media Content and Beliefs	Influence on Knowledge	Exposure to Preferred Content, Social Media Shaping Beliefs
	Reflection on Influence	Perceived Influence, Influence of Content Exposure
Awareness and Coping Mechanisms	Proactive Strategies	Awareness of Echo Chambers, Intentional Diversification
	Self-Directed Content Control	Proactive Content Exploration, User-Controlled Exposure

**Theme A: Cognitive and Emotional Impact**

The research examines how algorithm-driven social media material shapes our subtle thoughts and emotions under the theme of Cognitive and Emotional Impact. Through behavioral influence, participants described gradual opinion formation, where repeated exposure to certain views led to unconscious shifts in perspective. For instance, P4 noted, “I start thinking more about the things I engage with online,” while P2 observed, “After being exposed to certain views repeatedly, I start believing them to be more valid.” Pennycook & Rand (2018) research suggests this, wherein algorithmic repetition increases how credible you perceive information to be whether it is true or false. Other minor opinion shifts were present, P7 saying of his views changing: “I don’t even notice how my views have changed until I look back”, another robust psychological phenomenon with real consequences (Nadarevic, 2022).

Interactionally, participants spoke of feelings that trickled through to psychological trauma such as when P10 mentions negative news on their feed making them ‘more anxious’. This fits with Keles et al. (2019) who related an excess of social media use to greater anxiety and depression. P12 ‘s emotional involvement was also highlighted, stating that the ‘frustration and hopelessness’ felt during constant exposure to anger filled debate was comparable to Kramer et al. (2014) findings on emotional contagion mediated by algorithmic content. This is supported by (Kramer et al., 2014b): P9’s statement — “I get angry or upset reading certain posts even if I don’t engage”.

“Further, taken as a whole, social media algorithms are cognitive in their reshaping of social beliefs through repetition, soliciting strong—and often negative—emotional feedback to the social beliefs acquired through other social media algorithms.” These effects are subtle and users are unaware of being manipulated and emotionally distressed.

## **Theme B: Social Media Content and Beliefs**

Taking on an algorithmically interested social media content, this theme investigates how algorithmically curated social media content actively constructs users' knowledge systems and beliefs structures. Reiterated exposure creates dependence, thus, P3 remarks, "my feed shapes my knowledge on things", and, as P5 observes, "the more it feels like you're in absolute truth." Such experiences are backed by the research by (C. T. Nguyen, 2018) which shows that Epistemic bubbles and echo chambers are different social epistemic phenomena with regard to their implications and interventions. Examples of P12's recognition of the impact of shaping beliefs on cognitive processing and their realization of such processes take place in the social media shaping beliefs code. This resembles Brady et al's (2023) observation that Algorithms leverage social learning biases in use of information on online platforms to magnify some information and distort social perceptions and misinformation.

The second subtheme of 'reflection on influence' captures users' metacognitive awareness of these effects. Both P1 and P6 wonder if their 'opinions are their own or shaped by exposure' and P6 doesn't know if her 'perspectives are truly independent or algorithm driven,' reflects how participants might be aware with the possible elements of 'platform awareness' (Swart, 2021). According to research, algorithmic curation distorts public opinion perception (Krämer & Neubaum (2017). Social media amplifies majority views 30 – 50 %, thus amplifying false consensus (Huszár et al. (2021). Users' reports of mistaking feed content for reality are due to repeated exposure, which makes visible opinions appear normative. It is argued that these algorithmic effects

systematically change beliefs via visibility bias and phenomena of frequency illusions.

"Together, these experiences reflect a tension between users' perceived epistemic autonomy and the substantial—often unintentional—power exerted by algorithmic curation based on engagement." Some are aware of these mechanisms, but the extent to which it pervades points towards most thinking being done unconsciously, making it difficult for continued critical thinking in a digital world.

## **Theme C: Awareness and Coping Mechanisms**

Awareness and Coping Mechanisms is the theme which exposes that users intuitively repel algorithmic biases. These are cases where participants were aware of echo chambers; P2 said, 'I follow contradictory views so as not to enter a bubble,' and mentioned diverse sources. This is also consistent with the fact that Dubois & Blank (2018) discovered that media literacy reduces the effects of the filter bubble. The intentional diversification was another strategy, and both Garimella et al. (2021) note that users devote more time to news sources that support their political orientation, a tendency that is supported by both P7, who tries to watch the news of other sources, and P2, who tries to read the opposing pages.

Participants acted in a proactive manner in exercising close control of context, leading P6 to 'manually search for alternative opinions' and P10 to deliberately disrupting their beliefs, in keeping with Wardle (2018) concept of active information discernment. Merten (2020) describes how P7's adjustments of settings and P5's unfollow of extreme pages represent conscious curation of user exposure measures. P11's platform time limits, are further evidence of protective

measures against persuasive design as M. H. Nguyen (2021) would expect.

This set of strategies together amounts to an emerging digital agency, where users recover cognitive autonomy while also facing substantial effort in contesting the forces of systemic algorithmic at the outset.

*Research Question two-* To what extent do algorithm-driven content recommendations influence the formation and persistence of echo chambers?

The following themes deal with Research Question 2 as these themes examine how the personalization systems based on algorithms affect the user experience, and how these systems contribute to the development of echo chamber. These findings investigate algorithmic reinforcement, filtered exposure methods, and the political repercussions of tailored content.

Table: 2

Theme	Subtheme	Codes
Algorithm-Driven Personalization	Content Reinforcement	Automated Content Selection, Reinforcement of Interests
	Filtered Exposure	Echo Chambers Formation, Personalized feed.
Information Bias and Political Alignment	Political Reinforcement	Ideological Content Filtering, Selective News Exposure
	Lack of Balanced Discourse	Filter Bubble Effect, Social Media and Political Bias

**Theme D: Algorithm-Driven Personalization**

The next theme explains the algorithmic behavior, feedback loops, and patterns of users. This kind of personalization happens within a social media app, suggesting similar content several times based on actions users take. Both P1 and P3 informed us that doing things like clicking once led them to see almost all similar recommendations almost immediately, thanks to algorithms adding to their own interests. The research supports Nguyen et al. (2014), who found recommendation systems tend to promote the same sort of content.

Also, participants mentioned that even if they weren't signed up to a channel, platforms still showed them videos that fit their previous interests (P2) which is consistent with Matz et al. (2017), who confirm that this processing of information

plays a large role in creating tailored suggestions. A few participants (P4 & P5) noticed that when they spent significant time looking at specific themes, all the posts in their feed were centered on them. This matches Bakshy et al. (2015), who pointed out that filters like this can lower users' contact with opposing ideas by as much as 60%. Two of the participants (P4 & P9) noted that they were surrounded by content that just repeated the same beliefs they already held. This social isolation tendency aligns with the results suggesting that algorithmic personalization on TikTok reduces the sense of social connection among users, causing isolated online experiences (Taylor and Chen 2024c).

Even if algorithms aren't overly strict, Bruns (2019) says that users themselves can create their own echo chambers. Selective exposure is common because people tend to pick information that is in line with their

beliefs, as shown by Ekström et al. (2022). Dylko and his team (2017) reflect that personalization allows users to increase certainty about their existing positions by interacting only with their own group stories.

The behavior is explained psychologically by confirmation bias and also by reinforcement learning, where interacting with the platform leads to increased viewing of material that fits their beliefs. Eventually, this lessens cognitive variety and may cause attitudes to become more polarized. Avoiding uncomfortable information also means avoiding cognitive dissonance, which reduces one's openness to opposing viewpoints.

These approaches promote user engagement, albeit at the price of unintentional learning and ideological variety. Convenience and critical thinking are also compromised. To mitigate these consequences, personalization must be combined with intentional exposure to a variety of perspectives that promote reflection and cognitive flexibility.

### **Theme 5: Information Bias and Political Alignment**

Information Bias and Political Alignment examines how social media algorithms validate users' political alignment by presenting them posts that correspond with their beliefs. P1 describes under the subtheme 'Political Reinforcement' on how ideologically content filtering creates self-reinforcing echo chambers. "To avoid looking at alternatives, I see content which agrees with my beliefs." That's consistent with what Bakshy et al. (2015) found in the study: Facebook's algorithm cuts the exposure to opposing political views by as much as 60%. Similarly, P4 acknowledges that it is not simple to break the confirmation bias ("hard to break out of the political filter bubble"), an observation that corresponds to Flaxman et al. (2016) on algorithmic increase of ideological segregation.

The second sub theme concerns Lack of Balanced Discourse and addresses the filter bubble effect in which the debates from the world of politics appear to be one sided. P8 notes "opposing views get drowned out, it is hard to engage because there are discussions that for the most part support one side." Dubois & Blank's (2018) research on "echo chambers" support these experiences empirically: algorithmic personalization severely limits people's ability to be cross cut off by what others say and do. These findings taken together demonstrate how algorithmic curation politicizes by validating prefacts views and suppressing ideological diversity. The world is defined by users through personalized content first, it narrows the worldview and the view of normative discourse.

To sum up, Social media algorithms then curate content that furthers users interest as already seen in content sent to them through algorithms (Matz et al., 2017) and political views (Bakshy et al., 2015). The personalized exposure merely, subtly distorts cognition (Pennycook & Rand, 2018), triggers emotional reactions (Keles et al., 2019) and distorts reality views. On one hand, some users actively try to resist these effects (Dubois & Blank, 2018), even though most are caught in the narrowing effect of worldviews algorithmically captured and are exposed to a tiny part of the world.

### **Limitations**

This research had some key limitations that affected how broadly its findings could be applied. The small sample size prohibits making generalizability of the findings and qualitative research rests upon subjective interpretation, but up to some extent, to decrease this, every theme has been backed up with the research papers. More findings may be obtained by studying groups that are larger and include more diverse individuals. A different challenge was the use of data

given by participants since their memory might lead to incorrect answers or they might answer questions in socially acceptable ways. Adding data from observations or reviews done by others in further studies may help limit bias. There is a chance that individual and situational differences might have influenced the results, which calls for a stricter control in similar studies conducted later. It is important for future researchers to deal with these issues through improved funds and plans. Studies in various environments could make the findings more useful.

### **Future Implications**

The results of this study into social media echo chambers and their effect on users' openness to other viewpoints raise many significant implications for the future. Supporting a commitment to digital literacy could support people in thinking critically about algorithmically-driven content and being aware of biased exposure to content. If policymakers also consider the idea of enacting regulation requiring content recommendation algorithms to make the guidelines and policies they employ for transparency, equity can soon follow. There may also be a case for social media platforms to rethink the norms established by their algorithms and promote diversity of opinion as opposed to only strengthening existing beliefs and thinking. They may even allow users to identify different 'levels' of content diversity. Longitudinal studies additionally hold promise to explore the impact of prolonged exposure to echo chambers across the psychological spectrum (e.g. stress, anxiety, cognitive rigidity), which would help therapists design more effective interventions for healthier social media use. Moreover, longitudinal studies of exposure to echo chambers could examine the impact of continuing exposure to echo chambers on a person's beliefs over time, and the possibility of reducing polarization in differing beliefs and thinking when individuals expose

themselves to diverse opinions. Cross-cultural studies may reveal different ways societies deal and react when they are exposed to echo chambers online. Researchers, policymakers, and tech companies could use some of these implications to design web platforms that encourage open talks and more exposure to a variety of information. As an example, Jana et al. (2023) suggested positioning the moderate-minded agents in online networks as a possible computational intervention to increase information diversity by minimizing the echo chamber effects.

### **Conclusion**

This study investigated how exposure to echo chambers can impact users' cognitive flexibility and readiness to engage with different perspectives, specifically how algorithm-generated content recommendations can fuel echo chambers. The evidence shows that increased time in echo chambers is associated with decreased cognitive flexibility and a lack of willingness to entertain divergent perspectives when participants were intolerant of opposing views and often saw supportive information, it confirmed their belief that they were the only ones with merit views. The perpetuation of these echo chambers is largely attributed to algorithm-generated recommendations of content. Algorithms also produce a feedback loop of reinforcement in the way they prioritize content on the basis of prior interaction with it by the user. This reinforcement mechanism renders the pursuit of ideological clarity among users difficult because they are unlikely to come across opinions that do not concur with their own unless they make an effort to find them.

Despite these challenges, the research also found that punishing exposure to diverse content leads to increased cognitive flexibility. The participants were prone to reversing their mind when they were subjected to other

perspectives, either by deliberate query or by algorithmic manipulations. It may imply that interventions to motivate users to think about opposing opinions or offer a more balanced information source, may contribute to alleviating the adverse impact of echo chambers. In sum, this study indicates a complex interconnection among the digital environments, people actions, and the cognitive flexibility. It also triggers a necessity of individuals and platforms to become actively involved in decentralized discourses, aimed at promoting open deliberations, depolarizing and ensuring a more diversified and balanced information stream flow.

### References

- Bakshy, E., Messing, S., & Adamic, L. A. (2015). Exposure to ideologically diverse news and opinion on Facebook. *Science*, 348(6239), 1130–1132. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaa1160>
- Brady, W. J., Jackson, J. C., Lindström, B., & Crockett, M. (2023). Algorithm-mediated social learning in online social networks. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 27(10), 947–960. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2023.06.008>
- Bruns, A. (2019). Filter bubble. *Internet Policy Review*, 8(4). <https://doi.org/10.14763/2019.4.1426>
- Bryman, A. (2001) *Social Research Methods*. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Chen, M., & Xiao, X. (2022). The effect of social media on the development of students' affective variables. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.1010766>
- Cinelli, M., De Francisci Morales, G., Galeazzi, A., Quattrociocchi, W., & Starnini, M. (2021b). The echo chamber effect on social media. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 118(9). <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2023301118>
- Dubois, E., & Blank, G. (2018). The echo chamber is overstated: the moderating effect of political interest and diverse media. *Information Communication & Society*, 21(5), 729–745. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118x.2018.1428656>
- Dylko, I., Dolgov, I., Hoffman, W., Eckhart, N., Molina, M., & Aaziz, O. (2017). Impact of customizability technology on political polarization. *Journal of Information Technology & Politics*, 15(1), 19–33. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19331681.2017.1354243>
- Echo Chambers in Online Social Networks: A Systematic Literature review. (2024). Retrieved from <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/10388309>
- Ekström, A. G., Niehorster, D. C., & Olsson, E. J. (2022). Self-imposed filter bubbles: Selective attention and exposure in online search. *Computers in Human Behavior Reports*, 7, 100226. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chbr.2022.100226>
- Flaxman, S., Goel, S., & Rao, J. M. (2016). Filter bubbles, echo chambers, and online news consumption. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 80(S1), 298–320. <https://doi.org/10.1093/poq/nfw006>
- Gao, Y., Liu, F., & Gao, L. (2023). Echo chamber effects on short video platforms. *Scientific Reports*, 13(1). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-33370-1>
- Garimella, K., Smith, T., Weiss, R., & West, R. (2021). Political polarization in online news consumption. *Proceedings of the International AAAI Conference on Web and Social Media*, 15, 152–162. <https://doi.org/10.1609/icwsm.v15i1.18049>
- Hossain, S., & Ahsan, W. B. (2024, September). *Impact of social media reels and short videos on Self-Esteem, behavior, and mental health among Bangladeshi teenagers*. <https://doi.org/10.58947/journal.zbvn23>
- Huang, A., & Fabi, S. (2024b). Algorithmic Beauty: the new beauty standard. *Journal of Drugs in Dermatology*, 23(9), 742–746. <https://doi.org/10.36849/jdd.8074>
- Huszár, F., Ktena, S. I., O'Brien, C., Belli, L., Schlaikjer, A., & Hardt, M. (2021).

- Algorithmic amplification of politics on Twitter. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 119(1). <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2025334119>
- Jago, A. S., & Laurin, K. (2021). Assumptions about algorithms' capacity for discrimination. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 48(4), 582–595. <https://doi.org/10.1177/01461672211016187>
- Jana, P., Choudhury, R. R., & Ganguly, N. (2023). Reducing opinion Echo-Chambers by intelligent placement of Moderate-Minded agents. *arXiv (Cornell University)*. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2304.10745>
- Keles, B., McCrae, N., & Grealish, A. (2019). A systematic review: the influence of social media on depression, anxiety and psychological distress in adolescents. *International Journal of Adolescence and Youth*, 25(1), 79–93. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02673843.2019.1590851>
- Kramer, A. D. I., Guillory, J. E., & Hancock, J. T. (2014). Experimental evidence of massive-scale emotional contagion through social networks. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 111(24), 8788–8790. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1320040111>
- Krämer, N., & Neubaum, G. (2017). Journal of Media Psychology grows and develops. *Journal of Media Psychology Theories Methods and Applications*, 29(4), 175. <https://doi.org/10.1027/1864-1105/a000241>
- Lee, A. Y., Mieczkowski, H., Ellison, N. B., & Hancock, J. T. (2022b). The Algorithmic Crystal: Conceptualizing the Self through Algorithmic Personalization on TikTok. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction*, 6(CSCW2), 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3555601>
- Matz, S. C., Kosinski, M., Nave, G., & Stillwell, D. J. (2017). Psychological targeting as an effective approach to digital mass persuasion. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 114(48), 12714–12719. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1710966114>
- Merten, L. (2020). Block, Hide or Follow—Personal news curation practices on social media. *Digital Journalism*, 9(8), 1018–1039. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21670811.2020.1829978>
- Metzler, H., & Garcia, D. (2023b). Social drivers and algorithmic mechanisms on digital media. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 19(5), 735–748. <https://doi.org/10.1177/17456916231185057>
- Nadarevic, L. (2022). Illusory truth effect. In *Routledge eBooks* (pp. 225–240). <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003154730-17>
- Nguyen, T. T., Hui, P., Harper, F. M., Terveen, L., & Konstan, J. A. (2014). Exploring the filter bubble. *WWW 2014 - Proceedings of the 23rd International Conference on World Wide Web*. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2566486.2568012>
- Nguyen, M. H. (2021). Managing social media use in an “Always-On” society: exploring digital wellbeing strategies that people use to disconnect. *Mass Communication & Society*, 24(6), 795–817. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15205436.2021.1979045>
- Ognibene, D., Wilkens, R., Taibi, D., Hernández-Leo, D., Kruschwitz, U., Donabauer, G., Eimler, S. (2023). Challenging social media threats using collective well-being-aware recommendation algorithms and an educational virtual companion. *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence*, 5. <https://doi.org/10.3389/frai.2022.654930>
- Pennycook, G., Cannon, T. D., & Rand, D. G. (2018). Prior exposure increases perceived accuracy of fake news. *Journal of Experimental Psychology General*, 147(12), 1865–1880. <https://doi.org/10.1037/xge0000465>
- Political polarization and its echo chambers: Surprising new, cross-disciplinary perspectives from Princeton. (2021, December 9). Retrieved from <https://www.princeton.edu/news/2021/12/09/political-polarization-and-its-echo-chambers>

- chambers-surprising-new-cross-disciplinary
- Punch, K. (1998). *Introduction to social research: Quantitative and Qualitative Approaches*. SAGE Publications Limited.
- Smith, J. A., & Osborn, M. (2014). Interpretative phenomenological analysis as a useful methodology for research on the lived experience of pain. *British Journal of Pain*, 9(1), 41–42. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2049463714541642>
- Swart, J. (2021). Experiencing Algorithms: How young people understand, feel about, and engage with algorithmic news selection on social media. *Social Media + Society*, 7(2). <https://doi.org/10.1177/20563051211008828>
- Taylor, S. H., & Chen, Y. A. (2024c). The lonely algorithm problem: the relationship between algorithmic personalization and social connectedness on TikTok. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, 29(5). <https://doi.org/10.1093/jcmc/zmae017>
- Taylor, S. H., & Choi, M. (2023c). Lonely algorithms: A longitudinal investigation into the bidirectional relationship between algorithm responsiveness and loneliness. *Journal of Social and Personal Relationships*, 41(5), 1253–1278. <https://doi.org/10.1177/02654075231156623>
- Tindall, L. (2009). J.A. Smith, P. Flower and M. Larkin (2009), Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis: Theory, Method and Research. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 6(4), 346–347. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14780880903340091>
- Wardle, C. (2018). The need for smarter definitions and practical, timely empirical research on information disorder. *Digital Journalism*, 6(8), 951–963. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21670811.2018.1502047>

**Dishita Gadoya**, MSC Psychology, Kristu Jayanti College (Bangalore), Department of Psychology, 24mpsy22@kristujayanti.com.

**Dubari Baruah**, MSC Psychology, Kristu Jayanti College (Bangalore), Department of Psychology, 24mpsy21@kristujayanti.com.

**Grizel Dias**, MSC Psychology, Kristu Jayanti College (Bangalore), Department of Psychology, 24mpsy24@kristujayanti.com.

**Garima Gurbhele**, MSC Psychology, Kristu Jayanti College (Bangalore), Department of Psychology, 24mpsy23@kristujayanti.com.

**Diya Joy**, MSC Psychology, Kristu Jayanti College (Bangalore), Department of Psychology, 24mpsy20@kristujayanti.com.

**Haniyah Habib**, MSC Psychology, Kristu Jayanti College (Bangalore), Department of Psychology, 24mpsy25@kristujayanti.com.